

MINISTRY OF TEXTILES
OFFICE OF THE TEXTILE COMMISSIONER
48, VITHALDAS THAKARSEE MARG
NISTHA BHAVAN (NEW C.G.O. BLDG), MUMBAI - 400020
Tel No. 022-22001050 Fax : 022-22004693 :: E-mail : atufs.mum-textiles@gov.in
Website: www.txcindia.gov.in

F.No.TS-16014/1/2023/TUFS/61

Date : 12.07.2023
11.07.2023


Sir/Madam,

Sub: Minutes of the 34th meeting of Technical Advisory Monitoring Committee (TAMC)
under ATUFS held on 30.06.2023-reg.

Sir/Madam,

The Minutes of the 34th meeting of Technical Advisory Monitoring Committee (TAMC) held on 30.06.2023 in the Office of the Textile Commissioner through VC Mode for discussing the issues pertaining to ATUFS /previous version of TUFS is enclosed herewith.

Encl : as above.


(Ajay Pandit)
Joint Textile Commissioner

To,

All members of TAMC Meeting

Copy to :

1. PS to Tx.C. : For kind information
2. Shri Anil Kumar K.C., US, MOT, New Delhi
3. M/s. Silver Touch Technologies Ltd.
4. Computer cell of O/o TXC Mumbai.

Minutes of 34th meeting of Technical Advisory-Cum-Monitoring Committee (TAMC) for discussing issues of ATUFS and Previous Versions of TUFs at 11:00 AM on 30.06.2023 through VC

34th Meeting of the Technical Advisory-cum-Monitoring Committee (TAMC) for discussing the issues on Amended Technology Upgradation Funds Scheme (ATUFS) and Previous Versions of TUFs chaired by Ms. Roop Rashi, Textile Commissioner was held virtually at 11:00 am on 30.06.2023 The list of participants is at Annexure-I

Agenda No.1:

The minutes of 33rd meeting of TAMC held on 31.03.2023 and 06.04.2023 were circulated to all participants and published on office website and no comments have been received, hence minutes were confirmed and treated as final.

Agenda No. 2: Review of Progress of TUFs

- a. **Progress of utilization of allotted fund for the financial year 2022-23. As on 05.06.2023**

(Rs. in Crores)			
S. No	Scheme	Allocation(BE/RE)	Expenditure
1	ATUFS	900	46.7
2	MTUFS		-
3	RTUFS		-
4	RR-TUFS (bank routed)		0.68
5	RR-TUFS (MMS)		-
	Total		47.38

- b. **Segment wise details of UIDs issued & Subsidy released under ATUFS as on 05.06.2023:**

(Rs. in Crores)					
#	Segment Name	UID Issued	Project Cost	Provisional Subsidy	Subsidy released
1	Garmenting (15% CIS)	1468	3325.55	340.31	68.19
2	Handloom (10% CIS)	60	56.30	04.57	0.38
3	Jute (10% CIS)	13	16.52	01.31	
4	Silk (10% CIS)	30	41.44	02.71	
5	Multi activity (10% CIS/15% CIS)	2293	31693.05	2039.02	469.9
6	Processing (10% CIS)	1622	6602.54	445.28	146.69
7	Technical Textile (15% CIS)	534	4243.68	396.42	107.03
8	Weaving (10% CIS)	8369	23180.87	1733.37	914.89
	TOTAL	14389	69161.87	4963.15	1706.54

The progress and above data are placed before the TAMC for information.

Agenda No. 3:- Representation of Apparel Export Promotion Council (AEPC)

- (i)-To review cutoff date for purchase of Machinery as per para 7.3 of GR Dated- 02.08.2018. i.e. (purchase date shall be date when full and final payment is made by the entity

for machinery as evidenced by the bank transaction statement or the date or the commercial invoice date which is later) to be applicable since inception of ATUFS. As per original GR of 2016, the invoice date was considered as purchase date and accordingly invoice date should be after loan sanction date for machinery to become eligible under ATUFS. The clause was relaxed in revised guidelines, however the cut off date for relaxation was made applicable to units who applied for UID on or after 02.08.2018.

Matter was placed in 32nd meeting of TAMC for the decision and it was decided that for consideration of applicability of para 7.3 of GR 2018 since inception of the scheme, number of such cases with financial implications may be examined for which industry associations and Export Promotion Councils may provide the details of such cases with financial implications to Office of Textile Commissioner.

AEPC has submitted total no. of 11 cases with provisional subsidy value of Rs. 2.24 Cr.

ITTA has also submitted 1 no. cases with provisional subsidy amount Rs. 3.27 Cr.

FIASWI has also submitted 16 no. cases with provisional subsidy amount Rs. 1.8 Cr.

TAMC may deliberate and decide on the above issue.

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC recommend and decided to place the agenda before IMSC for allowing the amended clause ie Para 7.3 of GR dated 2018 since inception for these cases, for which representations have been received subject to otherwise due admissibility.

Agenda No. 04: Request for relaxation of timeline for uploading/forwarding of JIT reports beyond 2+7 days of the date of conduct of JIT due to isolation of iTUFS portal for public use.

In continuation to the outcome of the security and forensic audit of iTUFS portal, the access to the iTUFS portal was isolated vide Public Notice 24.01.2023. However, the physical JIT inspection of the claims were carried out to avoid delay in progress of the ATUFS scheme. Since the access to the iTUFS portal has been isolated completely in all the level including field offices and hence the JIT report could not be uploaded for the cases for which Inspections were carried out on or after 24.01.2023. Subsequently, limited access to iTUFS portal was provided through VPN to ease the process of ATUFS claim processing.

As all the JIT Inspections for which requests were received in iTUFS portal before 24.01.2023 have been completed, Approval of Competent Authority/IMSC for relaxation of timeline for uploading of JIT reports beyond 2 +7 days of the date of conduct of JIT is required for 516 cases. The list of such cases is enclosed as **Annexure-II**.

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC recommended to place above 516 cases and any other such case before upcoming IMSC for condonation of delay in forwarding the JIT report.

Agenda No. 05: Relaxation of timeline mentioned in para 10.4.3 in GR dated 02.08.2018 for settlement of cases within 25 days of JIT.

As Para 10.4.3 "The Office of the Textile Commissioner will approve the subsidy claim maximum within 25 working days of filing of JIT report online by RO. For approving the subsidy, the enlistment of the manufacturer or his authorized agent/supplier will be essential"

Agenda for the 34th meeting of TAMC

3/22

Para 10.2.6 states that request of JIT will be entertained if the machinery meets the technology specification but the manufacturer is not included in the indicative list. The manufacturer shall be given chance and manufacturer should be enlisted in 45 days.

As per 10.5.2 of guidelines "Textile Commissioner will send the challan to MoT and Ministry will release subsidy into account of entity within 15 working days of receipt of challan in ministry."

From above, it may be seen that para 10.4.3 and para 10.2.6 is not in conformance/have internal contradictions with each other. As per experience, it is seen that the machinery manufacturer takes more than specified time to submit the relevant documents for enlistment and till the machinery manufacturer is not enlisted, the claim could not be settled. Further the release of subsidy is now processed at the level of Office of the Textile Commissioner and not at MoT level. So the 15 days time allocated to MoT should be counted in time period given to office of the Textile Commissioner for settlement of claim as all PFMS registrations, bank validations etc are being handled at O/O TXC level.

Further during inspection of unit there are number of documents which are to be collected from unit, bank, M/c manufacturer etc. Even though proper notice is given to unit for keeping all the documents ready complete in all respect, however it is observed in most of cases that there is always some deficiency in submission of documents. There is no time limit for unit to reply the query or submit the deficit document. Under such circumstances, it has not been practically feasible to settle the claim in 25 days (para 10.4.3 of RR Dated 02.08.2018) after JIT Inspection.

Post number of decisions/relaxations by IMSC and TAMC, JIT reports have become more streamlined over a period of time yet it is observed that on an average, it takes 60 days to process the release of subsidy in new cases where the issues/deficiencies in documents are minimal.

Since all these GR provisions impinge on process, and not feasible due to critical dependencies on actual field variables, it is suggested that the time line of settlement of claim should be 40 days (25+15) after receipt the JIT report complete in all respects including replies from unit, bank and machinery manufacturer.

TAMC deliberated and recommend the proposal to IMSC for amending the relevant clause (10.4.3) in guidelines accordingly.

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC agreed and recommend to IMSC for amendment to Para 10.4.3 of GR to change time line of settlement of claim to 40 days (25+15) after receipt the JIT report complete in all respects including replies from unit, bank and machinery manufacturer.

Agenda No. 06:- Timeline for the applicant industry to respond/submit information/documents.

Although the processes under ATUFS are structured with definite timelines, there is no fixed timeline for the applicant industry to respond/submit information/documents for completing the settlement process after asset verification by JIT, leading to piling up of cases for want of
Agenda for the 34th meeting of TAMC

response from units. In order to fast track the settlement of cases it is suggested after issue of initial query letter to unit /bank/machinery manufacture, office of Textile Commissioner shall issue three reminder (2+1) on fortnightly basis relating to non-receipt of deficit documents from unit /bank /machinery manufacture failing which, cases will be settled as per available documents.

TAMC deliberate on matter in detail and recommend to IMSC for including this clause at Para 10.4.3. The Industry stakeholders also pointed out that queries should not be raised in piece meals and O/O TXC and RO should avoid repeated queries and should raise the queries at the most twice.

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC recommended to IMSC for amendment in para 10.4.3 of GR to include that Office of Textile the Commissioner may issue three reminder (2+1) on fortnightly basis relating to non-receipt of deficit documents from unit /bank /machinery manufacture failing which, cases may be settled as per available documents. Further it has to be ensured that implementing office (Regional office and/ or HO office of TxC) should do efficient vetting for eligibility so as to raise all the queries in single go and preferably not more than two times in case supplementary clarifications arise due to incomplete/ deficient response from applicant units/ Banks etc.

Agenda No. 07:- Clarification on identification whether shuttleless looms is new or old which not imported under EPCG and claimed under ATUFS –decision of 29th TAMC and 31st TAMC

RO Ahmedabad has informed that in the 29th TAMC it was decided that TAMC may deliberate and decide the issue for Shuttleless Loom and electronic jacquard only for machine purchased after the notification 01st July, 2017 subject to fulfillment of credit linkage requirement and other conditions permissible under GRs, policy decisions etc. Subsequently, again the issue was taken up for discussion during the 31st TAMC and accordingly, it was decided that;

“The TAMC ratified the recommendations of the Committee constituted for COO to consider the cases which were not imported under EPCG scheme and name of machine manufacturer is mentioned in CCO as “third party”/ ‘via’/“on behalf” of machines on submission of copy of documents which were submitted to custom department to establish that the machine is new or old. Additionally, Government notified charter engineer certificate, certifying that the machines are brand new with vintage period and residual life.

The decision is applicable for Shuttle-less looms and Electronic Jacquard only for the codes mentioned in the above table.”

The decision of the Committee constituted for COO as reproduced in the 31st TAMC minutes states as under:

“The Committee examined all the documents provided by ATUFS Cell. Since there is no provisions/instrument available to establish whether machine is new or old purchased under non EPCG, hence, the custom certificate based on applicable BCD i.e. 0% as per custom notification No. 50/2017 dated 30.06.2017 may be taken as reference. The Committee accepted the Custom clarification letter dated 25.05.2022 which states to use the custom certificate and other supporting documents to establish that imported machine is new or old. In addition, the Committee opined that the beneficiary (mentioned as importer in Custom clarification letter

Agenda for the 34th meeting of TAMC

5/22

dated 25.05.2022) may be requested to provide copy of documents which were submitted to custom department to establish that the machine is new or old. Additionally, a Government notified charter engineer certificate, certifying that the machines are brand new with vintage period and residual life.

In above connection, and Notification No. 50/2017-Customs dated 30th June, 2017 [Sr. No. 461], which is issued in supersession of the Notification No. 12/2012-Customs dated 17th March, 2012 [Sr. No. 406] issued by the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue). It may please be seen that although the Notification No. 50/2017-Customs dated 30th June, 2017 issued in supersession of its earlier one, bearing No. 12/2012, there is no change in the BCD applicable to Shuttleless looms under both the Notifications.

Hence it is opined that the decision taken by the 31st TAMC, should also made applicable to the shuttleless looms imported prior to 30th June, 2017 also, for units which had purchased looms under ATUFS prior to 30th June, 2017 and got UID under ATUFS. TAMC may deliberate and decide

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC has accept the request of RO Ahmedabad and decided that decision of 31st TAMC could be applicable to the shuttle-less looms and Jacquard imported prior to 30th June, 2017 also, for units which had purchased looms under ATUFS prior to 30th June, 2017 and got UID under ATUFS i.e 31.01.2016.

Agenda no. 8: For ratification of Decisions taken by Internal Technical Committee.

Sub Agenda Point No. 01: For ratification of machinery manufacturer/authorized agents enlistment

Enlistment of 04 machinery manufacturer/authorized agents as per annexure-III recommended by Internal Technical Committee (ITC) in its 65th meetings held on 25.05.2023 is placed before the TAMC for ratification

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC ratified the decision of ITC

Sub Agenda no . 2 (Agenda Point No. 2 of 64th ITC): Consideration of Logo of M/s. United Texmac Pte. Ltd., Singapore. R.O. Kolkata has vide letter dated. 04/03/2022 informed that the circular knitting machine supplied to M/s. Rupa & Co. Ltd from M/s. United Texmac Pte. Ltd., Singapore is having the logo of the machinery manufacturer only on the name plate. The logo available in invoice copy and machine name plate is also not matching.

The similar case was represented in 37th ITC and decision (under approval process) is reproduced below:

Decision Taken in 37th ITC dated 24.02.2022: The committee opined that unit may be asked clarification for difference in LOGO affixed on machinery and invoices. The unit may also be asked to submit logo registration certificate/ trademark certificate and branding practice with legal Documents

Now the manufacturer submitted vide e-mail dated 25.02.2022 the clarification for Logo affixed on the machinery along with Trade Mark Registration certificate obtained from Singapore and India.

Agenda for the 34th meeting of TAMC

6/22

Accordingly, the decision may be taken for consideration of logo on the machine.

Section Note: While scrutiny of documents it has been noticed: There are 3 different Logo for the manufacturer

- Logo in Machine name plate and Trademark certificate from registrar of trademark, Mumbai issue dated 12.09.2020 is found to be same.
- The logo of certificate issued by registrar of trademarks, Singapore dated 05.11.2019 is different.
- The logo available in invoice & letter head and website is found different from the above two logo

Decision Taken in 38th ITC dated 10.03.2022 :-The Committee gone through the documents submitted by the unit and noted that Machine plate logo is same as per trademark certificate issued by Registrar of Trademark Mumbai, however invoice logo is different from trademark certificate issued by Registrar of Trademark Singapore and Mumbai. Hence, clarification may be called from unit on logo printed on Invoice copy along with proper legal documents.

Now the unit submitted clarification as below and they are the owner of all 3 logos,

- Plain text "UNITEX" - As per Singapore trade mark certificate – Introduced in June 1996
- Old logo - Govt. Of India, Mumbai trade mark certificate - Introduced in July, 1996.
- New Logo - Introduced in June, 2019 - June – announcement published in shanghaitex -2019 which is published on manufacturer website- Applied for registering new logo with the Intellectual property of Singapore. Expecting registration in next 3 months.

Further, the unit requested to consider the both old and new logos which they are using in commercial documents and machine nameplates.

Decision Taken in 50th ITC dated 08.09.2022 :As the manufacturer is yet to get the new logo from the intellectual property of Singapore. Hence the committee did not accede to the request of the unit. Now M/s. United Texmac Pte. Ltd., Singapore submitted the logo certificate in respect of their new logo issued by Registrar of Trade Marks, Singapore dated 31.03.2023.

Section Note: The logo history details as follows,

Logo	OEM submission	Trademark certificate issued by	Date (Valid from)	TMB observation as per records
	Logo in 1996 - June	Registrar of Trade Marks, Singapore 05.11.2019	01.10.1996	
	Logo introduced in 1996 - July	Trade Mark Registry, Mumbai 12.09.2020	09.04.2018	Using in machine name plates. (Sep-2019, May-2020, Mar-2021, May-2021)

	Logo introduced in 2019 - June.	Registrar of Trade Marks, Singapore 31.03.2023	23.08.2022 (application date)	Using in commercial invoice from 2020 onwards and in unit letterhead
---	---------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	--

The unit requested to consider the both old and new logos which they are using in commercial documents and machine nameplates.

Decision Taken in 64thITC: The committee examined the documents submitted by the manufacturer and noted that the manufacturer following different business practices i.e using old logo in the machine name plates on the machines supplied in Sep-2019, May-2020, Mar-2021, May-2021, even after new logo was introduced in June-2019. The new logo is used in commercial documents only whereas machine name plates are having old logo which raises the doubt of chances of stock sales/refurbished sales business practice. Hence, the committee not considered the manufacturer request and decided to refer back the case to ATUFS cell for taking appropriate decision as per GR.

Now, the unit vide e-mail dated 24.05.2023 has forwarded representation and submitted that *"We Unitex are still the legal owner of both logos. Although this business practice of using more than one logo is not common in your country, it is acceptable in our country as well as in many other countries. We understand that your office is having heavy responsibility in administering the ATUFS scheme and we highly respect the rules and regulations. However, as far as our company business is concerned, since day one of our establishment in Singapore, we have been a law-abiding company and have been a very responsible in observing the best practices in the international markets. This is the main reason we are trusted by all the reputable customers such as Shahi Exports, Loyal Textiles, Rupa & Co. etc. and by all our global associates such as Siemens motor, Groz-Beckert needles, Memminger-Iro etc."*

The unit requested to review the decision and requested to explain the case through the meeting.

Decision of 34th TAMC:- Industry member stated that there may be possibility of invoicing of old inventory in current period which may be ignored, however it should be ensured by JIT that claimed machines are new (as per custom documents) and meet the benchmarked technology as per the standard of ATUFS. Based on the assurance of Industry members ie AEPC, SIMA, TMMAI etc, the TAMC admitted the explanation of the machinery manufacturer and unit and allowed this case.

Sub Agenda no .3 (Additional Agenda Point No. 1 of 65thITC): Cases Forwarded by TUFS Cell

TUFS Cell requested to place issue in respect of machinery manufacturer M/s. Colorjet India limited, Noida who supplied MC2-48 (Digital /inkjet printing machine) to ATUFS beneficiary M/s. Jaimal Singh Satnam Singh (ATUFS/2019-20/1324) vide note no. 12/3760/JIT/ATUFS/2022/TUFS/81 dated 28.04.2023. During scrutiny, TUFS cell noted that the logo on the machine name plate and logo in the

Agenda for the 34th meeting of TAMC

8/22



invoice is same.

In this regard TUFs cell submitted the following documents in support of the matter,

1. Invoice Copy
2. Name plate of machinery photo Copy
3. Trade mark certificate issued by Trademarks Registry, Mumbai,

Section note:

The unit enlisted at serial no.318 in Annexure-III under ATUFS.

Logo is same in all documents i.e. the machine name plate, invoice, Trade mark Certificate, technical literature and manufacturer website.

Decision Taken in 65thITC: - The committee examined the documents submitted by the manufacturer i.e trademark certificate and noted that the logo available in invoice and name plate is same. The committee recommended the case for consideration also observing the other eligibility criteria of the guidelines in force.

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC ratified the decision of ITCSUB

Agenda no .4 (Agenda Point No. 2 of 66thITC)

Addition of MAICA brand machines with M/s. Jack Sewing Machine Co. Ltd., China

M/s. Jack Sewing Machine Co. Ltd., China is requested this office to add MAICA brand machines manufactured by M/s. M.A.I.C.A SRL, ITALY under the name of M/s. Jack Sewing Machine Co. Ltd., China(enlisted in Annexure- III at Serial no.100).

In this regard unit submitted below documents in support of their claim,

Supplementary heads of agreement dated 02.05.2017 signed by both parties

- Selling of 100% shares to M/s. Jack Sewing Machine Co. Ltd., ChinaIndependent

Auditor's Report for three years from 2019 -2021

- Establishing 100% shares of M/s. MAICA SRL, Italy held by M/s. Jack Sewing Machine Co. Ltd., China

Undertaking

Sales figure

Technical literature

Declaration letter that all invoices made by M/s. Jack Sewing Machine Co. Ltd., China

Section note: M/s. M.A.I.C.A SRL, ITALY applied for fresh registration on 19.03.2021 with Indian Embassy Certificate (without any correlation with M/s Jack Sewing Machine Co. Ltd.). The application was rejected on 07.01.2022 due to non submission of requested documents (Revised undertaking and technical literature) for this office query dated 05.04.2021 as per 4th IMSC decision.

Decision Taken in 58th ITC dated 16.01.2023: The committee noted that M/s. M.A.I.C.A SRL,

Agenda for the 34th meeting of TAMC

9/22



ITALY had applied for enlistment as Machinery Manufacturer in March 2021 and the submitted documents showing M/s. Jack Europe S.A.R.L. as 100% shareholder of M/s. M.A.I.C.A SRL, ITALY as on 07/02/2018. Whereas now, M/s. Jack Sewing Machine Co. Ltd, China have submitted a Supplementary Heads of Agreement dt. 2/5/2017 in which they have agreed to purchase 100% shares of M.A.I.C.A. S.R.L. Further, they have failed to submit a copy of the formal sale and purchase agreement to be entered into on 28/07/2017, between M/s. Jack Sewing Machine Co. Ltd, China and M/s. M.A.I.C.A SRL, ITALY, as per the Supplementary Heads of Agreement dt. 2/5/2017. As such, the committee decided to call for the unit's explanation for the above discrepancies and also require to submit the audited Annual Report, Balance Sheet etc of Jack Sewing Machine Co. Ltd., China instead independent auditor report of M/s. M.A.I.C.A SRL.

Now the unit submitted below documents as per above decision in respect of their requests,

- i. Heads of agreement dated 02.05.2017
- ii. Supplementary Heads of agreement dated 15.05.2017
- iii. Audit report and Financial statements (year 2021)
 - Establishing 100% shares of M/s. MAICA SRL, Italy and M/s. Jack Europe held by M/s. Jack Technology Co. Ltd., China
- iv. Business license of M/s. M.A.I.C.A SRL, Italy
 - showing M/s. Jack Europe S.A.R.L. as 100% shareholder Business Extract of M/s. Jack Europe
 - showing 100% shares held by M/s. Jack Technology Co. Ltd., China
 - Declaration letter from M/s. MAICA SRL, Italy
 - Declaration letter that all invoices and documents issued by M/s. Jack Sewing Machine Co. Ltd., China

In addition to that above, the unit requested name change from M/s. Jack Sewing Machine Co. Ltd., China to M/s. Jack Technology Co. Ltd., China. In support of name change unit submitted below documents,

- i. Old Business license of M/s. Jack Sewing Machine Co. Ltd., China
- ii. New Business license of M/s. Jack Technology Co. Ltd., China
- iii. Resolution of the Board of Directors - Mentioned effective date of name change is 23.12.2021. In response to the query for not updating the name in their own website, the unit replied that "1. Our website address is www.chinajack.com and we did not change because as name changed there is no difference as web site products are same "
- iv. Extracted data from China govt. website based on Unified Social credit code.

Decision Taken in 66th ITC: The committee gone through the documents now submitted by M/s. Jack Sewing Machine Co. Ltd., China for the change in their name from M/s. Jack Sewing Machine Co. Ltd., China to M/s. Jack Technology Co. Ltd., China and accepted their request for the change of company name to M/s. Jack Technology Co. Ltd., China w.e.f. 23.12.2021. Further

based on the Heads of Agreement dt. 15/5/2017 and other documents submitted by M/s. Jack Technology Co. Ltd., China, the committee recommended for accepting the billing of MAICA brand machines manufactured by M/s. M.A.I.C.A SRL, ITALY, by M/s. Jack Technology Co. Ltd., China formerly known as M/s. Jack Sewing Machine Co. Ltd., China w.e.f. 15/05/2017.

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC ratified the decision of ITC

Sub Agenda no . 5 (Additional Agenda Point No.1 of 66th ITC) : Case Forwarded by TUFS Cell - Logo reg.

TUFS Cell requested to place issue in respect of machinery manufacturer M/s. Daekwang Machinery Co., Ltd. Korea, who supplied MC03-H--14 (PLC based lamination machine for technical textiles) to ATUFS beneficiary M/s. Harmony Plastic Pvt. Ltd. (ATUFS/2019-20/1535) vide note no. 12/3709/JIT/ATUFS/2022/TUFS/124 dated 22.05.2023. During JIT it has found that machine name plate comprises only logo of the machinery manufacturer. The referring officer stated that the logo mentioned on the machine name plate and logo in the invoice is same.

In this regard TUFS cell submitted the following documents in support of the matter,

1. Invoice Copy
2. Name plate of machinery photo Copy
3. Manufacturer note on their branding exercise, marketing practices and declaration of authentic logo

Section note:

The unit enlisted at serial no.403 in Annexure-III under ATUFS.

Logo is same in the machine name plate, invoice, section records and manufacturer website.

Decision Taken in 66th ITC: The committee noted that as per the documents submitted by TUFS the logo of the unit is the same on the machine name plate and the Invoice copy. As such, the committee recommended for release of subsidy if other conditions of ATUFS guidelines are met.


Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC ratified the decision of ITC

Sub Agenda no . 6 (Additional Agenda Point No.2 of 66th ITC): Case Forwarded by RO-Navi Mumbai - Logo reg.

RO- Navi Mumbai requested to place issue in respect of machinery manufacturer M/s. Veejay Lakshmi Engineering Works Ltd. Coimbatore., who supplied MC01-B--05 (Two for One twister machine) to ATUFS beneficiary M/s. Shri Sankheshwar Textile Pvt. Ltd. (ATUFS/2019-20/2573) vide letter no. 3/1483/JIT//22/ATUFS/ROM/Wardha/ dated 19.04.2023. During JIT it has found that machine name plate comprises logo and short name i.e. **Veejay Lakshmi** of the machinery manufacturer. The

Agenda for the 34th meeting of TAMC

11/22



RO- Navi Mumbai called clarification from the manufacturer and unit has submitted below declaration:

It is our practice and custom to write our name in short form as "Veejay Lakshmi" on machine plates which can be verified from all machineries manufactured by us.

In this regard TUFS cell submitted the following documents in support of the matter,

1. Invoice Copy
2. Name plate of machinery photo Copy
3. Manufacturer note on their branding exercise, marketing practices

Section note:

The logo available in machine name plate, invoice and section records are same.

Decision Taken in 66th ITC: The committee examined the documents submitted by R.O. Navi Mumbai and noted that the logo of the unit is the same on the machine name plate and the Invoice copy, Further the committee also accepted the clarification submitted by the unit and recommended for release of subsidy if other conditions of ATUFS guidelines are met.

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC ratified the decision of ITC

Agenda No. 09:- Minutes of the 3rd Meeting of Committee for deciding issues related to Country of Origin(COO) as per ATUFS

In pursuant to Office Order No.12(7)/31st TAMC/ATUFS/2022/TUFS/18 dated 05.09.2022 regarding constitution of Committee for deciding the issues pertaining to Country of Origin/Certificate of Origin as per ATUFS. The 3rd meeting of the Committee was convened on 03-04-2023 from 02.30 P.M onwards through video conference (VC), under the Chairmanship of Shri V.K. Kohli, Joint Textile Commissioner. The agenda points, which were discussed and decisions taken thereof are as under:

Sub Agenda Point No-01: Regional Office, Coimbatore forwarded a case where the "Country of Origin" Certificate has mentioned as "Re-export".

RO- Coimbatore also submitted clarification provided by the OEM (Original Equipment Manufacturer) that HONG Kong doesn't have manufacturing activities and being used as transit hub, so certificate also contains the word re-export.

Meaning of Re-export in Certificate of Origin: the certificate certifies the origin of the goods imported into Hong Kong to other destinations.

Section note: The referred e.g. case of M/s Eastman, USA is enlisted under ATUFS with following arrangement:

Agenda for the 34th meeting of TAMC

12/22



Annexure-III	Company details
Sr No-481	PARENT UNIT (REGISTERED & SALES OFFICE): M/S. EASTMAN MACHINE COMPANY, USA SUBSIDIARY MANUFACTURING UNIT: M/S. EASTMAN GARMENT EQUIPMENT (NINGBO) CO. LTD., CHINA SUBSIDIARY SALES UNIT: M/S. EASTMAN C.R.A. (HONG KONG) LTD, HONG KONG

Decision taken by 3rd COO Committee : The committee deliberated the case in details and decided to call the following clarifications from the unit:

1. The procedure/ documents carried out by the machine supplier towards application submission for issuance of Re-export certificate at Hong Kong COO issuing authority
2. Declaration note from the manufacturer that the supplied item is whole machine or in part of machine.
3. Clarification on, if the manufacturer has offices at manufacturing country also then why the machine is being diverted from Hong Kong to India instead e.g. directly from US/China/Japan etc to India

Based on the above documents, ATUFS cell/RO may take suitable decision for release of subsidy under ATUFS.

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC ratified the decision of COO committee and AEPC has also informed that Garment machines are imported and routed through trading hub i.e Hongkong etc. Further TUFs cell may call above documents/clarification and resolve the issue

Sub Agenda Point No-02: Case from 32nd TAMC meeting (Agenda no-29) & re-presentation from RO- Coimbatore:

RO Coimbatore has requested to place matter where machines were purchased under EPCG with self-certified Certificate of Origin (COO).

The decision has been considered to accept the installation certificate from the jurisdictional custom authority or from Chartered Engineer for machines procured **under EPCG** scheme from the units/beneficiaries under ATUFS which is issued during validity period only as per para 5.04(a) of chapter 5 Export promotion Capital Goods (EPCG) scheme of FTP .

Further, it is understood that the decisions are only applicable for Via and Third Party certificate of Origin. In case manufacturer submit self-certified COO whether it is applicable or not may be deliberate and decide for machine purchased under EPCG.

Further, as per the 23rd TAMC decision that Committee deliberated and approved that Machine

Agenda for the 34th meeting of TAMC

13/22



manufacturer may be requested to clarify rule position policy of the exporting country and the competent authority which authorize the manufacturer to issue such self-certified COO Case may not be considered if the manufacturer fails to submit aforementioned details.

Decision of 32nd TAMC on Self issued certificate: In regard to acceptability of Self issued Certificate of Origin for machine, the COO committee extended decision and guidelines which are ratified in 32nd TAMC is reproduced below:

"Self-issues Certificate of Origin recommendation as guidelines from COO Committee: Apart from above the committee discussed and decided as a general rule that to accept self-issued COO from manufacturer for all Countries who falls under domain of Regulation EU 2015/2147 subject to submission of Rule Positioning by the manufacturer. In regard to other countries, the decision of 1st COO committee meeting is recommended that ATUFS cell may call the manufacturer/supplier to submit certificate/countersigned COO from respective Chamber of Commerce within 30 days from date of the query. This decision may be applied to all cases and such cases may not be referred to this committee any more in future."

RO-Coimbatore forwarded letter from Juki Singapore on inability in getting countersigned COO from Chamber of Commerce. Hence RO vide e-mail dated 27.01.2023 requested through to advise on acceptance of Self issued certificate of Origin especially for machine supplied under EPCG cases as the Singapore Custom Authority, Chamber of Commerce authority refused to countersign the document due to time lapse.

Section note:

- The decision on 32nd TAMC acceptance of self-issued certificate after due countersigned from chamber of commerce is applicable for all cases irrespective of machine supplied under EPCG/Non EPCG. RO Coimbatore requested to advise the self-certificate for machines under EPCG where the installation certificate from the jurisdictional custom authority or from Chartered Engineer is accepted as corroborative documents in case of COO issued by Chamber of Commerce.
- This agenda is in continuation to agenda no -29 of 32nd TAMC meeting.

Decision taken by 3rd COO Committee: The committee discussed the representation received from RO-Coimbatore and decided to retain the decision taken in the 32nd TAMC meeting i.e. guidelines issued on self-certified Country of Origin (COO). The above- mentioned guidelines issued in 32nd TAMC is applicable for all type of supplies i.e. EPCG/Non EPCG supplies in respect of self-issued Country of Origin.

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC ratified the decision of COO Committee

Sub Agenda Point No-03: Case forwarded by TUFS Cell

M/s. Space knitwear Pvt. Ltd. ATUFS/2018-19/1925) purchased machine MC 04-3 Computerized High Speed Fully Fashioned Flat-bed Knitted Garment manufacturing machine) from M/s. KaunoHeng Precision machinery Ind Co. Ltd... Taiwan vide Invoice No. K- 107054 dated 08.05.2018. During JIT unit submitted Self Certified COO of the machine manufacturer. Subsequently upon clarification sought by RO, unit submitted Copy of COO No. EA16QA00053 dated 08.05.2018 issued by The General Chamber of Commerce, Taiwan.

Similar case regarding the machine with respect to MC04-7 (Label / Elastic Attaching machine) Purchased through Invoice no. SOR221485 dated 25.05.2018 from M/s. Juki Singapore PTE Ltd. JIT has submitted Self Certified COO of machine manufacturer. Subsequently upon clarification sought. RO has submitted Copy of COO No. 99559 dated 25.08.2018 issued by Singapore Chinese Chamber of Commerce and Industry (Copy enclosed).

Hence the matter may be placed in the COO Committee for consideration of the COO Produced and subsequently certified by JIT.

Decision taken by 3rd COO Committee: The Committee examined the case and noted that the unit provided both Country of Origin (COO) i.e. Self-issued COO and issued by Chamber of Commerce. The committee recommended the case for the release of subsidy if other conditions of ATUFS guidelines are met.

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC ratified the decision of COO Committee

Sub Agenda Point No-04: RO Noida forwarded case of M/s Satyam Textiles.


The unit purchased machine from Authorized Agent M/s Hans Ecomagination Co. Ltd., China (Authorized agent of M/s Shaoxing Hans Textile Machinery Co. Ltd., China). In COO following observation are been made:

- Name of exporter: Guangzhou Zhangdi Imp & Exp Trading Co Ltd
- O/ B: Hans Ecomagination Co. Limited China

Section note:

- M/s Shaoxing Hans Textile Machinery Co. Ltd., China: Sr No-58 Annexure-III
- Hans Ecomagination Co. Limited China: Sr No- 67 Annexure-III as Authorized Agent
- Guangzhou Zhangdi Imp & Exp Trading Co Ltd

There is no information available on M/s Guangzhou Zhangdi Imp & Exp Trading Co Ltd in relation with M/s Shaoxing Hans Textile Machinery Co. Ltd., China.



Decision taken by 3rd COO Committee: The committee reviewed the case and noted that the details of exporter name mentioned in Country of Origin is nowhere available in relation to Original Machinery manufacturer. The committee did not accept the arrangement under machine is supplied and did not recommend the case for release of subsidy under ATUFS.

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC ratified the decision of COO Committee

Sub Agenda Point No-05:

ATUFS Cell vide their note 12(2278)/JIT/ATUFS/2019/TUFS/145 dated 16.01.2023 forwarded case of M/s Anmol fabrics

The unit purchased Shuttleless Airjet Loom from M/s Tsudakoma Corp. Japan with electronic dobby from M/s Staubli Faverges SCA. In this regard, for electronic dobby M/s Staubli were directly supplied to unit by Staubli Faverges SC on behalf of Tsudakoma hence, in COO name of exporter mentioned as M/s Staubli Faverges SCA place Robert Staubli on behalf of Tsudakoma Corp.

Section note: M/s Tsudakoma Corp. Japan and M/s Staubli Faverges SCA is enlisted at Sr No:- 357 & 316 respectively in annexure-III.

Decision taken by 3rd COO Committee: The committee reviewed the case and accepted the arrangement under machine is supplied. The committee recommended the case for release of subsidy if other conditions of ATUFS guidelines are met.

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC ratified the decision of COO Committee

Sub Agenda Point No-06:

RO- Noida forwarded a case of M/s Jayshree International related to Certificate of Origin (COO). The unit purchases machinery from M/s Brother Machinery (ASIA) Limited, Hong Kong where in COO is issued by M/s Brother International Singapore PTE Limited, Singapore.

- Invoice issued by: M/s Brother Machinery (ASIA) Limited, Hong Kong dated 30.04.2021
- COO Issued by: M/s Brother International Singapore PTE Limited, Singapore. Dated 17.08.2021

On Behalf of: M/s Brother Machinery (ASIA) Limited, Hong Kong

- Machine Manufactured at: China & Vietnam
- Place of supply as per Bill of Lading: Malaysia

The manufacturer has also submitted a letter stating that all brother machinery is manufactured under the control of M/s Brother Industries Ltd., Japan and sales are governed from designated Agenda for the 34th meeting of TAMC

16/22



sales office by way of raising invoices to end user. The aforesaid machineries were manufactured at China production unit. Section note:

- The above mentioned companies including Parent, Sales offices and subsidiary units at Japan, Hong Kong, China and Singapore are enlisted in Annexure-III under ATUFS.
- The section has come across such issues as mentioned as RE-export in COO in case of machine manufactured at China and supplied from Hong-Kong.
- The COO issued from Singapore Chamber of Commerce also bears the term- issued retrospectively.

Decision taken by 3rd COO Committee: The committee examined the case and decided to call clarification that why the machine is supplied under such arrangement i.e. invoice from Hong Kong, Bill of lading from Malaysia and Certificate of Origin from Singapore issued retrospectively.

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC noted the decision of COO Committee

Agenda NO. 10 :- Decisions of 67th Meeting of ITC dated 08.06.2023

Sub Agenda Point No 1 (Agenda Point No. 1 of 67th ITC) : : Case Forwarded by TUFs Cell

TUFs cell requested vide note no. 12(3814)/IT/ATUFS/2022/TUFs/28 dated 06.06.2023 to place the issue regarding eligibility of the claim under ATUFS. M/s. Swan Medico LLP, Rajkot (ATUFS/2019-20/857) has purchased 1 No. of machine MC-03-j-1 (i.e. Complete production line of surgical Bleached cotton from following 06 different machine manufacturers:

1. M/s. Perfect Engineering.
2. M/s. Swastik Textile Engineers Pvt. Ltd.
3. M/s. Tiny Top Engineering Pvt. Ltd.
4. M/s. Vetal High Tech machines Pvt. Ltd.,
5. M/s. Callebaut De Blicquy, France.
6. M/s. Links SRL, Italy.

From the above manufacturers list, the enlistment application of M/s. Tiny Top Engineering Pvt. Ltd. is rejected as per the 4th IMSC decision and no application for enlistment has been received from the M/s. Vetal High Tech machines Pvt. Ltd. & M/s. Callebaut De Blicquy, France under ATUFS.

As per Para 3.3 of RR dated 02.08.2018 machine purchased directly from the machine manufacture/ supplier will be eligible for CIS under the scheme. However, in the instant case one complete production line of surgical bleached cotton purchased from six manufacturers, out of which three machine manufacturers are not enlisted.

ATUFS Section Remark: Though the machine annexure MC03-J-1 mentioned as "Complete Agenda for the 34th meeting of TAMC

17/22



production line of surgical Bleached cotton", as the deliberation of 19th TAMC, Complete production line of surgical Bleached cotton involves different process like Blow room, wet processing and packing in the textile value chain and accordingly, 5th IMSC has decided to allow the Complete production line under Technical Textile in more than two component purchased from different vendors. Further, the unit has purchased 3 components of complete production line from enlisted machine manufacturer and hence no scope to reject the complete production line. In view of the above, the machineries supplied by the 3 enlisted manufacturers may be considered.

Decision Taken by 67th ITC : The matter is regarding consideration of payment of subsidy for different parts/ machines of a complete production line under Technical Textile which are not enlisted under ATUFS. In this regard, the committee opined that ITC has no comment as it is not under its purview and ATUFS Section may take decision as per the extant GR

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC ratified the decision of ITC. As such TAMC has no comments on the ATUF section note in the matter. ATUF section should follow due process.

Sub Agenda no . 2 (Agenda Point No. 2 of 67th ITC):

The South Gujarat Warp Knitters Association has vide their letter dt. 8/9/2022 requested for considering the following two machines as High Speed Fully Fashioned knitting machines under Garmenting Sector for subsidy of 10% capital + 5% Interest under RTUFS / RRTUFS.

1. Multi Bar Raschel Knitting Machine (Warp / Raschel Knitting Machine)
2. High Performance Tricot Machine.

The South Gujarat Warp Knitters Association has claimed that both these knitting machines are capable of manufacturing garment like shrug/ poncho / capes etc at highest speed as compared to other garment making machines. They have further informed that they do not require stitching machines to manufacture garments like ladies shrug. Capes etc and therefore it would be inappropriate to insist that a unit should have a minimum of 30 stitching machines to qualify as a garment manufacturing unit as decided in the 15th TAMC meeting held on 2/1/2020.

In view of the above, The South Gujarat Warp Knitters Association has requested that the criteria of minimum 30 stitching machines to qualify as a garment manufacturing unit as decided in the 15th TAMC meeting held on 2/1/2020 may be insisted upon only for machines purchased on or after 2/1/2020. The Association has also submitted the following documents in support of its claim.

- a) Test report of Textile Committee on Polyester Knitted garment (Capes)
- b) Certificate issues by Machine manufacturer- M/s Jiangsu Runyuan Holding Group Co. Ltd., China & Karl Mayer confirmation on possibilities of making Shrug using 3 guide bar.
- c) Cross Examination Report of Professor 'Shri Bipin Kumar- IIT-Delhi

Sections Note: Based on the cross examination report of Shri Bipin Kumar, Assistant Professor, IIT – Delhi by Customs Department submitted by the Association along with their representation, it is seen that, he has stated that Raschel and Tricot – Both warp knitting machines do not fall under Fully Fashion category as these machines lack functionalities like loop transfer, creating a circular panel, creating tuck, float which is required in fully fashion category. Hence the Raschel and Tricot both machines may fall under only Knitting segment even though they can manufacture Shrug as claimed by The South Gujarat Warp Knitters Association.

In view of the above, the committee may take a decision as to whether the following two machines can be considered as High Speed Fully Fashioned knitting machines under Garmenting Sector for subsidy of 10% capital + 5% Interest under RTUFS / RRTUFS without the requirement of having minimum of 30 stitching machines to qualify as a garment manufacturing unit as decided in the 15th TAMC meeting held on 2/1/2020, for units who have purchased the machines before 2/1/2020.

1. Multi Bar Raschel Knitting Machine (Warp / Raschel Knitting Machine)
2. High Performance Tricot Machine

Decision Taken by 67th ITC : After detailed deliberation, the committee decided that under RRTUFS Resolution, the categorization of eligible machines are done on the basis of segment and not based on the output of the product of the machine. Actually, warp knitting machine which falls under c. 4. of MC-5 which is meant for knitting segment only and machines categorized under this segment are eligible for 5%IR only. Moreover, under ATUFS, this machine has been claimed under weaving and knitting segment only. As such, this machine cannot be considered as machinery under garment segment. Further as regard to other demands of the association, decision is already taken by TAMC and IMSC, hence ITC is not empowered to review the decisions of TAMC/ IMSC. Being policy decision to be taken, TAMC and Ministry may decide on these demands made in the representation of the association.

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC ratified the decision of ITC


Sub Agenda Point No-03 (Agenda Point No. 3 of 67th ITC):

TUFS Cell vide note no 12(3947)/JIT/ATUFS/2023/TUFS/09 dated 01.05.2023 forwarded the case of M/s Welspun Advance Materials (India) Ltd regarding different name plates available in Complete production line or the components/parts forming the production line for the spun lace non-woven.

M/s Welspun Advance Materials India Ltd purchased Complete Production line including Opening/Blending, web forming and Hydro entanglement unit for manufacturing of Spun lace

Agenda for the 34th meeting of TAMC

19/22



non-woven from M/s Andritz Perfojet S.A.S. , France . During the supply it is noted that few components of the complete production line are supplied through sister concern of M/s Andritz Perfojet viz M/s Andritz Laroche SAS and Andritz Asselin Thibeu SAS. The sister concerns are also manufacturers of textile machineries for Non-Woven and form an integral part of complete production line for Spun lace Non-Woven. The invoice raised by M/s Andritz Perfojet S.A.S. only for complete production line however parts supplied from sister concern comprises name plate of the manufacturing unit.

M/s Andritz Perfojet S.A.S. is enlisted in Annexure-III at Sr No-498 for MC-3-g-1-viii: Complete production lines or the component / parts forming the production line for the manufacture of following non-woven upto rolled goods preparation and packing. Now the unit submitted documents for enlistment of M/s Andritz Laroche SAS and Andritz Asselin Thibeu SAS as subsidiary unit of M/s Andritz Perfojet S.A.S., France. The unit requested for enlistment of subsidiary units also and submitted following documents:

- Technical Literature of textile machines manufactured by above subsidiaries
- Financial report establishing subsidiary relationship
- Declaration letter for machines supplied through Subsidiary units to Welspun Advance materials India Ltd Business registration copies of subsidiary companies

Section Note : The Andritz Asselin Thibeu business license: the activities mentioned as “manufacturing of machinery for the textile and nonwoven industry”. The unit is established in 30.06.2005 under the administrative control of Andritz Kusters GmbH

M/s Andritz Laroche SAS business license: “Foundry and mechanical constructions and all related operations. The provision of all technical, commercial, administrative, management, accounting of management assistance services.” The unit established in 01.10.1926 under administrative control of M/s Andritz AG.

The aforesaid subsidiary units viz M/s Andritz Laroche SAS and Andritz Asselin Thibeu SAS are sister concerns of M/s Andritz Perfojet S.A.S. The same is also validated through annual report submitted by the unit.

It was also seen from the Balance Sheet of the company and the company website that M/s. Andritz AG is an international technology group, offering plants, equipment, systems and services for various industries. The group's headquarters are in Graz, Austria. However their textile and non-woven related manufacturing activities is carried out under the proprietorship of M/s Andritz Perfojet S.A.S, France which has been enlisted as machinery manufacturer under ATUFS. M/s Andritz Perfojet S.A.S, France has procured some parts of the complete production line from its sister concern viz M/s Andritz Laroche SAS and Andritz Asselin Thibeu SAS.

Decision Taken by 67th ITC : The committee noted that all the companies are group companies of M/s. Andritz AG, Austria and the complete production line for producing spun lace non-woven fabric is supplied & invoiced by M/s Andritz Perfojet S.A.S, France which is enlisted under ATUFS. From the records available in their website, it is observed

that M/s Andritz Perfojet S.A.S, France is engaged in technical textiles machines production. Moreover, as per documents submitted by M/s Andritz Perfojet S.A.S, France they have supplied the complete production line with few parts manufactured by its two group company's falling under ambit of Andritz AG (main company which is non-textile unit and group of various units as per document annexed) i.e. M/s Andritz Laroche SAS and Andritz Asselin Thibeu SAS.

It was also reported by ATUFS cell referring to claim file that all the parts supplied by the group companies are having the same logo (**ANDRITZ**). As such, the ITC is of the opinion that such type of arrangement may be considered by the TAMC/ Ministry as a special case since the main unit M/s. Andritz AG, Austria was established on 1852(More than 25 years as decided by ITC/TAMC) and its textile machinery unit viz. M/s Andritz Perfojet S.A.S, France has supplied entire production line in its invoice having few parts of their group companies under M/s. Andritz AG, Austria (Copy of annual report is enclosed).

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC deliberated the matter in detail and noted that all the machines in technical textile production line are supplied by one Group Company having same logo which has also been verified from annual report etc ,hence TAMC allowed this arrangement.

Sub Agenda No. 04 (Agenda Point No. 4 of 67th ITC):

The ATUFS Cell forwarded case of M/s Suregaa Exports (Tufs Ref No- ATUPS/2021- 22/182). During scrutiny, it is observed that invoice is raised on 01.05.2021 and date of manufacturing in name plate is 11.05.2021 which is 10 days after the invoice date.


- Invoice date: 01/05/2021
- Name plate (Date of manufacturing):11/05/2021
- Bill of lading: 30.05.2021
- Machine Manufacturer: M/s Tubular Textile Machinery INC, USA

Machine Manufacturer clarification: "The name plate is always made earlier and the dates mentioned on it are based on an expected loading date. In the particular case, the bill of lading date is on 30.05 2021. Accordingly, the expected date has been mentioned in the name plate as 11.05.2021 with the machine model No. C2500 and machine Sr. No. BM10832 which are in line with the invoice.

Section Note: Such type of case was deliberated in 32 TAMC Meeting (Reg M/s Jiangyin Star Kaji Izumi Machinery where name plate comprises 2016 3, however invoice date was 29.02.2016. The decision of committee is reproduced below.

Decision of 32nd meeting of TAMC : SIMA and CMAI has pointed that it may be possibility of very close dates. TAMC has decided to seek clarification from Machine supplier/manufacturer regarding circumstances of mentioning different dates in different shipping documents and machine. ITC may relook into the matter in the light of the views of SIMA and CMAI and place Agenda for the 34th meeting of TAMC

21/22



it before the next meeting of TAMC

Decision Taken by 67th ITC : The committee reviewed the declaration submitted by the manufacturer and did not accede to their request as similar types of cases were not recommended by the ITC earlier in the 49th ITC. Further the committee also noted that in an earlier ITC meeting, a case regarding affixing wrong name plate by the same company was discussed and the committee did not consider the same. From the above, it seems that the manufacturer is not following the best trade practices and is in the habit of making such errors, which creates doubt on genuineness of first hand machinery.

Decision of 34th TAMC:- TAMC ratified the decision of ITC Committee



list of participants

1. Ms. Roop Rashi, Textile Commissioner - In Chair
2. Shri S.P. Verma Addl. Textile Commissioner, O/o TxC Mumbai
3. Shri Ajay Pandit, Joint Textile Commissioner, O/o TxC Mumbai
4. Shri Sivakumar S Deputy Director, O/o TxC Mumbai
5. Shri Bharat Gandhi Chairman, Federation of Indian Art Silk Weaving Industry (FIASWI), Surat
6. Shri Mithlesh Thakur Secretary General, AEPC
7. Shri Sakthivel.K Vice Chairman, PDEXCIL Mumbai
8. Dr. K. Selvaraju S.G. , The Southern India Mills' Association, Coimbatore
9. Shri Mohan Sadhwani E.D. , Clothing Manufacturers' Association of India (CMAI), Mumbai
10. Shri Sachin Kumar E.D. Textile Machinery Manufacturers Association, Mumbai
11. Shri Charanjit singh , Knitwear Textile Club Ludhiana
12. Shri Sasikumar Menon, Dy.Secretary WOOLTEXPRO
13. Shri N.K Singh Assistant Director , O/o TxC Mumbai
14. Shri Narottam Kumar, Assistant Director , O/o TxC Mumbai
15. Shri Ashutosh Shukla, Assistant Director, NCDC New Delhi
16. Shri Anmol Gupta, CITI
17. Shri Ashish Bhoje PDEXCIL Mumbai
18. Representative of TUFS Cell, Small Industries Development Bank of India(SIDBI)
19. Senior Manager, IDBI BANK
20. Ms Chandrima, CITI
21. Shri Abinash D, Powerloom Development and Export Promotion Council (PDEXCIL), Mumbai
22. Shri Suresh SIMA
23. Ms. Saeeda Patel, AEPC.
24. Shri Rajeev Ranjan Bank of Baroda
25. Shri Rajani Kanan Indian Overseas Bank
26. Shri S S Kar , Punjab National Bank



Annexure-II

SR. NO.	NAME OF THE UNIT	ELIGIBLE TEXTILE MACHINE COVERED UNDER WHICH ANNEXURE OF ATUFS	MOU FOR AUTHORIZED AGENT WITH % OF COMMISSION
INDIGENEOUS			
1	M/S. DARSHAN ENGINEERING ENTERPRISES, AHMEDABAD.	WEAVERS BEAM, WAPER BEAMS, COLTH ROLLS	
ABROAD			
2	M/S. POLTEKS TEKSTIL MAKINALARI SANAYI. VE. TICARET LIMITED SIRKETI., TURKEY	MC-2-63, MC-3-E-5	
3	M/S. QINGDAO HUARUI JIAHE MACHINERY CO, LTD., CHINA (AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. QINGDAO HUARUI JINGHE MACHINERY CO, LTD., CHINA)		3%
4	M/S. NEW PERSPECTIVE INTERNATIONAL LTD, HONGKONG (AUTH. AGENT OF M/S. DONGGUAN GAO EN MACHINE CO, LTD., CHINA)		1%